

1 WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ
2 MARC WOLINSKY (*pro hac vice*)
3 GEORGE T. CONWAY III (*pro hac vice*)
4 VINCENT G. LEVY (*pro hac vice*)
5 51 West 52nd Street
6 New York, NY 10019
7 Tel./Fax: 212.403.1000/2000
8 MWolinsky@wlrk.com
9 GTConway@wlrk.com
10 VGLevy@wlrk.com

11 FARELLA, BRAUN & MARTEL, LLP
12 NEIL A. GOTEINER, State Bar No. 83524
13 THOMAS B. MAYHEW, State Bar No. 183539
14 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor
15 San Francisco, CA 94104
16 Tel./Fax: 415.954.4400/4480
17 NGoteiner@fbm.com
18 TMayhew@fbm.com
19 *Attorneys for Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company*

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE HP ERISA LITIGATION

This Document Relates to: All Actions

Master File No. 12-CV-06199 CRB

**STIPULATION AND ORDER RE
BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

Dept. Courtroom 6, 17th Floor
Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer

1 WHEREAS, on June 3, 2013, plaintiffs filed their Consolidated Amended Class Action
2 Complaint For Violations Of The Employee Retirement Income Security Act (the “Amended Com-
3 plaint”) (Docket 50);

4 WHEREAS, on April 2, 2014, the Court granted the following motions: defendants Hewlett-
5 Packard Company 401(K) Plan, Hewlett-Packard Company, David W. Healy, Catherine A. Lesjak,
6 Marc A. Levine, John N. McMullen, James T. Murrin, John F. Schultz and Shoreline Investment
7 Management Company’s joint motion to dismiss (Docket 81); and defendant Michael J. Holston’s
8 individual motion to dismiss (Docket 78) without prejudice and granted plaintiffs leave to amend
9 (Docket 116);

10 WHEREAS, on July 16, 2014, plaintiffs filed their Second Consolidated Amended Class
11 Action Complaint For Violations Of The Employee Retirement Income Security Act (the “Second
12 Amended Complaint”) (Docket 121);

13 WHEREAS, by stipulation and order dated April 16, 2014, the Court set a schedule for
14 briefing defendants’ anticipated motions to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint (Docket 118),
15 according to which defendants’ motion would be due on September 2, 2014; plaintiffs’ brief in op-
16 position would be due on October 17, 2014, and defendants’ reply briefs would be due on Novem-
17 ber 17, 2014;

18 WHEREAS, counsel for the plaintiffs and for defendants desire to amend the briefing
19 schedule on the anticipated motions to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint;

20 NOW, THEREFORE, subject to the Court’s approval, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND
21 AGREED, by and among the undersigned parties, through their undersigned counsel of record, as
22 follows:

23 1. Defendants shall move to dismiss or otherwise respond to the Second Amended
24 Complaint on or before September 12, 2014.

25 2. Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to defendants’ motion to dismiss, assuming such
26 motions are filed, on or before November 6, 2014.

3. Defendants shall file their replies in support of any motions to dismiss on or before December 19, 2014.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: July 31, 2014

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ

By: 
Marc Wolinsky

*Attorneys for Defendants Hewlett-Packard Company,
Shoreline Investment Management Company, and
Hewlett-Packard Company 401(k) Plan*

DATED: July 31, 2014

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, PC

By: /s/ Steven M. Schatz
Steven M. Schatz

Attorneys for Defendant Catherine A. Lesjak

DATED: July 31, 2014

FENWICK & WEST LLP

By: /s/ Kevin Muck
Kevin Muck

*Attorneys for Defendants Marc Levine, John McMullen
and James Murrin*

DATED: July 31, 2014

ZAMANSKY LLC

By: /s/ Samuel Bonderoff
Samuel Bonderoff

Interim Lead Class Counsel for Plaintiffs

ORDER

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing,
IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: August 1, 2014

